



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,963	01/22/2004	Terri K. Taylor	022719-0052	6349

21125 7590 09/24/2009  
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP  
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST  
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD  
BOSTON, MA 02210-2604

EXAMINER
----------

RAMIREZ, JOHN FERNANDO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3737

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

09/24/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@nutter.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/762,963	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAYLOR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN F. RAMIREZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 3737	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN F. RAMIREZ. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mike Visconti. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 20 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and applicant's legal representative discussed amendments that would help to clarify the invention. Further discussion of claims 20 and 37 rejected under 103 obviousness section was conducted in which applicant alleges the motivation to combine the references. Applicant is planning to file a response to the mailed office action dated 6/25/09.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. F. R/ Examiner, Art Unit 3737	/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737
--------------------------------------	--